A different take on dry reforming of methane in DBD: combining sorbents and plasma for single-stage carbon capture and utilization

R Vertongen^{(*)1}, G De Felice², H van den Bogaard², F Gallucci², A Bogaerts¹, S Li²

¹ Research group PLASMANT, Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Antwerp, Belgium

² Research group Inorganic Membranes and Membrane Reactors, Sustainable Process Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, De Rondom 70, Eindhoven 5612 AP, the Netherlands.

(∗*) rani.vertongen@uantwerpen.be*

In the transition to a more sustainable society, it is crucial to decarbonize the industry through electrification and carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) [1,2]. One way to reduce the overall cost is to apply single-stage CCU and circumvent process steps [3]. For example, with adsorption-based carbon capture, the CO₂ is first captured from a dilute source such as flue gas (\sim 15 vol% CO₂) or direct air capture (DAC) [4]. Second, the adsorbed $CO₂$ is converted *in situ*, while simultaneously regenerating the adsorbent. Plasma technology is a promising candidate to realize single-stage CCU. The plasma enables the desorption of $CO₂$ from a sorbent and the simultaneous conversion to CO. Li et al. [5] demonstrated CO₂ capture with a hydrotalcite sorbent and plasma-based desorption and conversion in a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma reactor.

In this study, we explore the flexibility of such a plasma-sorbent system, specifically for sorptionenhanced dry reforming of methane (DRM). The combined reaction of $CO₂$ and CH₄ is interesting for producing value-added gas mixtures, such as syngas and hydrocarbons. In addition, variable input streams, such as biogas and landfill gas, can be easily coupled to the plasma when using a sorbent system. To the best of our knowledge, no previous research has investigated DRM with a plasma-sorbent system for single-stage CCU.

A cylindrical DBD reactor was packed with zeolite 5A pellets (size 250-355 µm) as the sorbent material and compared to non-adsorbing quartz particles as a control measurement. The sorbent selectively adsorbs $CO₂$ to filter CH₄, in line with the literature for zeolite 5A [6]. In the adsorption stage, $CO₂$ with Ar as carrier gas was fed to the reactor until the sorbent material was saturated (flow rate of $20/20$ mL_n/min). To clear the lines and remove non-adsorbed $CO₂$, the reactor was flushed with a high flow rate of CH₄ and Ar (50/50 mL_n/min). This also ensures we only measured surface desorption and conversion in the desorption step. Finally, in the desorption step with a CH4/Ar flow (20/20 mL_n/min , the plasma was switched on to induce CO_2 desorption. We applied a frequency of 45 kHz and a constant plasma power of ca. 30 W. The time-dependent concentrations were determined with FTIR (Agilent Technology, Cary 630). Additional samples were taken with an in-line gas chromatograph (GC, Thermo Scientific® Trace 1300) equipped with two thermal conductivity detectors and a flame ionization detector. To study the influence of water, a simple humidity meter (Extech Instruments Humidity Alert II 445815) was installed in the line.

Figure 1 presents the concentrations as a function of time during the desorption step when the Ar/CH⁴ plasma is ignited. The concentrations of $CO₂$ and CO exhibit similar profiles, indicating that the CH₄/Ar plasma is suitable to desorb $CO₂$ and convert it to CO at the same time. The concentration of CH⁴ drops within the initial 200 seconds, due to three primary factors: (1) CH₄ is consumed via non-oxidative coupling into higher hydrocarbons induced by the plasma, which also explains the drop observed in CH⁴ concentration in the blank experiments; (2) dilution of the relative concentration due to $CO₂$ desorption from the sorbent into the gas phase; (3) CH₄ consumption as a result of reactions with desorbed $CO₂$. Due to the latter two reasons, the CH⁴ concentration decreases to a lower level in the case of zeolite 5A compared to the blank experiment. Subsequently, it begins to increase and reaches a plateau, corresponding to the declining $CO₂$ desorption over time.

Figure 1 Concentration of $CO₂$, CO, and CH₄ in the outlet stream during the desorption stage when the Ar/CH₄ plasma is ignited.

Measurements with GC confirm the formation of H_2 and C_{2+} hydrocarbons, including C_2H_6 , C_2H_4 , C_2H_2 , and C_{3+} products. Although most of these products (except CO) could also be formed by CH₄ non-oxidative coupling only, the presence of H₂O suggested possible DRM reactions. Notably, the zeolite showed potential for *in situ* removal of H2O, shifting the equilibrium and possibly enhancing the conversion in the plasma. Material analysis revealed that the surface area and pore volume of zeolite 5A decreased after plasma exposure, caused by the carbon deposition on the sorbent. However, the material remained stable since we observed no significant morphological changes. Overall, this plasmasorbent system is an interesting proof-of-concept for *in situ* CCU.

- [1] C. Hepburn, E. Adlen, J. Beddington, E.A. Carter, S. Fuss, N. Mac Dowell, J.C. Minx, P. Smith, C.K. Williams, The technological and economic prospects for CO2 utilization and removal, Nature 575 (2019) 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1681-6.
- [2] IEA Global Energy and Climate Model, (2023). https://www.iea.org/reports/global-energyand-climate-model (accessed December 12, 2023).
- [3] S. Sun, H. Sun, P.T. Williams, C. Wu, Recent advances in integrated CO2 capture and utilization: a review, Sustain Energy Fuels 5 (2021) 4546–4559. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SE00797A.
- [4] M. Erans, E.S. Sanz-Pérez, D.P. Hanak, Z. Clulow, D.M. Reiner, G.A. Mutch, Direct air capture: process technology, techno-economic and socio-political challenges, Energy Environ Sci 15 (2022) 1360–1405. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EE03523A.
- [5] S. Li, M. Ongis, G. Manzolini, F. Gallucci, Non-thermal plasma-assisted capture and conversion of CO2, Chemical Engineering Journal 410 (2021) 128335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.128335.
- [6] M. Mofarahi, F. Gholipour, Gas adsorption separation of CO2/CH4 system using zeolite 5A, Microporous and Mesoporous Materials 200 (2014) 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2014.08.022.